It his career and in bold strokes. Last iant colors across the gain, announcing he ederal law and etracks to accept al and college defy the 20-yearts betting in all but some form of it at announcement g was approved ing handily in all ward legalization s mo politica backlash. comfortable with ve becom ling, whether nctioned ing, parin tting at Advertisement e bewilde

They see no difference between plunking down \$50 on number five black on a roulette wheel and plunking down \$50 to back up a belief the Giants are three points better than the Redskins.

They point to the billions wagered illegally—all of which directly benefits larger criminal enterprises while depriving government of millions in tax revenue and turning otherwise law-abiding citizens into criminals.

The arguments advanced by the National Football League and the NCAA against sports betting — principally that it undermines the integrity of the games — is no longer accepted.

Critics dismiss the league's stance as hypocritical, pointing out that it maintains an ongoing collaborative relationship with media outlets that regularly broadcast or print point spreads, reports of injuries to key players, which could potentially alter the outcome of a game, and predictions by self-appointed experts.

Such information has but one aim: helping those who wish to place a bet gain a bit of an edge. It is naïve to believe it's designed to add to one's general storehouse of knowledge about football.

While sports betting would be an additional draw to bring customers to casinos and spend money on hotel rooms, dining, shows and shopping, it is not the windfall for government some supporters claim.

In Las Vegas, the largest sports book in the

country, for instance, it accounted for only \$129 million, 1.2 per cent of the \$10.9 billion in total gaming revenue, according to figures from 2010.

Moreover, should the federal prohibition be overturned, Pennsylvania and New York —