

Nathan Long and several additional faculty members. This meeting resulted in a satisfactory outcome. Posters and signs were relocated and assurances were given that the College would not enter into contracts with companies that limit the freedom of speech of members of the College.

- D. Double Majors. (February) Several members of the Senate proposed that the College should allow students to complete two majors short of a second degree, i.e. in fewer than 32 credits beyond the 128. For example, a sociology major could take a heavy concentration of cognates in psychology and complete both majors in only 16 additional credits. The Senate approved the proposal with s

to 12 credits. No one dissented, one abstained. It is under review by the Administration.

- M. Articulation Agreement with University of Delaware. (February) The Senate approved, by unanimous voice vote, the waiver of the last 32 credits residency requirement. The Administration has also approved this agreement.
- N. Independent Studies Standards. (March & May Retreat) After some consideration over several meetings, the Senate chose to table the matter pending further clarification of the need for new standards.
- O. Evaluation of Administrators. (April & May Retreat) The evaluation of deans is ongoing and the Senate has assurances from Provost Kesselman that the process will continue.
- P. Faculty Advisory Groups – Administratively formed. (April) In light of the advisory group formed for Academic Advising, the Senate voted unanimously to adopt a policy that the Administration should consult with the Senate Executive Committee before it asks faculty to serve on an ongoing advisory committee.

II

Senate Operations

The better part of a morning session considering ways to improve the functioning of the Senate. The material below is taken from the report of that session of the retreat.

- A. Meetings - Operational Considerations. The Senate discussed how it might work more efficiently in the future using internal working groups or Senate Committees and perhaps scheduling additional meeting times other than Tuesdays at 4:30 p.m. The general sentiment seemed to favor working within the current time constraints. The presence of committee chairs either at the Executive Committee meetings or at the Senate meetings when their

qualitative distinctions among good candidates. In particular, the committee
ei Ygh]cbYX'h\Y'k]gXca 'cZfYei]f]b['U'g'UH'cZ[cbY'bca]bYY'Zcf'YUW'
j UW]bW'f'f'f]W'='L'z'gYW]cb'&'cZ'h\Y'7cbg]h' h]cbE" <ck Yj Yf']h'k Ug'dc]bhYX'
out that one of the primary functions of the Nominating Committee was the
need for a diversity of candidates. The Constitution is clear that this function
is paramount (Article IX, section 1). It was suggested that the purpose of

that folks have

the provost candidates. I believe the Faculty had good conversations with each of the final candidates.

Large Questions. The issue of a [g\UfYX'j]g]cbÎ 'Wb]bi Yg'hc 'VY'WbhfU''hc'h\Y'k Y''- being of the College. Everyday decision-making drives the evolution of the college