confirmed the scheduling difficulty for NAMS, noting that starting the MWF meeting module at

3:35 would not resolve all of the problems.. She suggested that it might mean fewer sections of

some courses could be offered, but that some satisfactory arrangement could probably be

worked out. Lucinda Jassel emphasized the significance of the proposal's problems for women

with other responsibilities, and urged that if the proposal is adopted, it should be fashioned in a

manner that would address these needs. Richard Colby suggested that the meeting module

could
 be reduced to an hour and fifteen minutes, avoiding the need to start class at 8:15, and

that classes could be convened by adjuncts during that time so that significantly fewer students

would be unoccupied. Eileen Crane acknowledged that the new schedule would pose a

problem for NAMS, but that it could be resolved. Robert Helsabeck asked if there might be a

general agreement in favor of moving the meeting module to MWF, and that if so a committee

could
 be set up to refine the idea. Louise Sowers agreed with Helsabeck, and suggested that

the Assembly needed to work with the administration on this. Gregory Fink and Mary Ann Trail

agreed to a substitute motion that the meeting module be moved to MWF, that it be' placed

earlier in the day than 4:30, and that it be referred to a committee to work out the details.

William Gilmore
-