## **Senate Meeting Minutes Nov. 15, 2016**

Tyrrell calls the meeting to order at 4:32pm

- 1. Approval of Senate minutes for October 18, 2016. No corrections of objections.
- 2. Disability, Accessibility and Reasonable Accommodations Policy (Second Reading): policy 2<sup>nd</sup> reading:
  - a. Procedure is being worked on. Word change to "reasonable" from "meaningful" since legal term is "reasonable." Or just strike "meaningful" without replacement. Arleen call for vote, seconded. Passes unanimously.

## 3. IDEA Survey:

- a. Guest presentation Doug Harvey and Judy Vogel. 2012 survey on satisfaction of IDEA with recommendation survey held again in 5 yrs. So, now undertaking the next survey with changes to instrument from IDEA. Repeated questions with some added about planned IDEA changes particularly online administration (done through phone or laptop) and short forms. 38% response rate. Concern: 65% rate to guarantee statistic validity of online results but online not getting the same response rate. Increased bias in online response; need more data on this issue. Will present data to Provost Council, might send out to all faculty or posting it online. Going to pilot taking survey on mobile devices and kiosks to mimic new IDEA administration plan. Pilot can help Stockton develop tactics to address concerns such as response rate. Senators request samples sent out instead of presenting them in Senate meeting. Incentives for taking IDEA suggested. Can shorter version have customized questions especially for online? Interest in it but must talk to IDEA about if can and how to. Decision is between Union and Administration whether or not Stockton stays with IDEA. Alternative systems do have reliability issues; caveat only going to be a perception of students, not actually a measure of teaching effectiveness. New IDEA has results/breakdown online instead of paper. The cost benefit of IDEA (or alternative) as opposed to Stockton creating own assessment system, no clear answer.
- 4. Discussion on Shared Governance Task Force:
  - a. Boakes suggestion break into groups and talk. Spend 20-25 minutes in groups; one member designated as spokesperson.

i.

- decision making. Spirit is egalitarian model but Stockton has deviated from this; Quaker model for truly shared governance but not feasible. Odd juxtaposition of IDEA neoliberal with discussion of shared governance.
- iii. Group 3: Perhaps need a clear line and role/voice of staff in shared governance. Importance of clear line between voting and non-voting members for standing committees of senate. Increasing faculty presence strains faculty seeing same faces. How to make valued input from participants such as students; how does student senate feed into this. Chart of all the entitiehe s-2(o t)(-)T0 1 Tf 0;c 4(nt4(nt4(n,(o t)()T0 1n)-10)4(nd r)u)-1(a)4(t)-2 pa15.6oticir(o)84iucf
  - 02 Tw -30.52 -1.115.6ot(m)-6(em)-6d is eiotld rhn tionpari; impa tod6(gf2(e)6(l v12(.)2(o t)-2(hi)t(g)23(t)-2()-10ofte s